

Code: UKCAL-CWF-CON-EIA-RPT-00007-7B05

# Volume 7B Proposed Development (Offshore) Appendices

Appendix 3-3 Sediment Quality Baseline

Caledonia Offshore Wind Farm Ltd

5th Floor Atria One, 144 Morrison Street, Edinburgh, EH3 8EX





# Volume 7B Appendix 3-3 Sediment Quality Baseline

Code	UKCAL-CWF-CON-EIA-RPT-00007-7B05
Revision	Issued
Date	18 October 2024

## **Table of Contents**

1	Int	troduction1									
2	Se	Sediment Characterisation 3									
	2.1	Overview	3								
	2.2	Caledonia OWF	5								
	2.3	Caledonia OECC	10								
3	To	tal Organic Carbon	14								
	3.1	Overview	14								
	3.2	Caledonia OWF	14								
	3.3	Caledonia OECC	14								
4	Re	ferences	15								



## **List of Figures**

Figure 1-1: Site-Specific Survey Locations in the Caledonia OWF and Caledonia
OECC 2
Figure 2-1: Existing surficial sediment characterisation combined with site-
specific sampling4



Code: UKCAL-CWF-CON-EIA-RPT-00007-7B05 Rev: Issued Date: 18 October 2024

### **List of Tables**

Table 2-1: Summary of Particle Size Analysis across the Caledonia OWF.......Table 2-2: Summary of Particle Size Analysis across the Caledonia OECC.....11



Code: UKCAL-CWF-CON-EIA-RPT-00007-7B05 Rev: Issued Date: 18 October 2024

### **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

BGS	British Geological Survey
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
MW&SQ	Marine Water and Sediment Quality
OECC	Offshore Export Cable Corridor
OWF	Offshore Wind Farm
PSA	Particle Size Analysis
тос	Total Organic Carbon

### 1 Introduction

**CALEDON** A

- 1.1.1.1 This appendix covers an in-depth analysis of the sediment quality baseline environment of the Proposed Development (Offshore) in terms of sediment quality parameters in the Marine Water and Sediment Quality (MW&SQ) Study Area, such as:
  - Sediment Characterisation (including Particle Size Analysis (PSA)); and
  - Total Organic Carbon (TOC) content.
- 1.1.1.2 The location of sample stations across the Caledonia Offshore Wind Farm (OWF) (i.e., array area) and the Caledonia Offshore Export Cable Corridor (OECC) are shown in Figure 1-1. The results of this site-specific survey are compared with publicly available regional data, including previous OWF Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs), to provide a robust characterisation of the entire MW&SQ Study Area.



### 2 Sediment Characterisation

#### 2.1 Overview

**CALEDON** A

2.1.1.1 Sediment characteristics (grain type and size) influence multiple important biotic and abiotic aspects in the marine environment and are therefore considered an important impact pathway. For example, diversity and composition of macro and meio-benthic communities, organic carbon storage and contaminant storage/distribution are strongly conditioned by sediment grain size. Sediments with a finer particle size, such as clays and muds, can act as adsorption surfaces for contaminants that may be released into the water column if the sediment is disturbed. This is due to their larger surface area to volume ratios and higher organic carbon content. Sediments consisting of coarser sand and gravel are accepted to have a much lower contamination risk. Figure 2-1 illustrates the anticipated sediment characterisation across the MW&SQ Study Area.



#### 2.2 Caledonia OWF

CALEDON A

- 2.2.1.1 Regional-scale sediment maps sourced from EMODnet (2020<sup>1</sup>), derived from British Geological Society (BGS) datasets indicate that within the Caledonia OWF, the surficial seabed sediments predominantly consist of Holocene sediments, including sand, gravelly sand, sandy gravel, and slightly gravelly sand, as per the Folk classification (Folk, 1954<sup>2</sup>). These sediments are reported to contain only a small proportion of fines, less than 10%. It is important to acknowledge that the BGS sediment maps are constructed from a relatively sparse sampling density in this area. Nevertheless, these data can serve to provide broad-scale characterization, particularly when combined with site-specific sampling campaigns.
- 2.2.1.2 A project-specific survey (Volume 7B, Appendix 4-1: Environmental Baseline Report (Array Area)) sampled 34 stations across the Caledonia OWF using wet and dry sieving to determine the PSA of sediment grab samples, results of which are shown in Table 2-1. The mean particle size ranged from  $45 \mu m$  at Station ENV21 to 2881µm at Station ENV13, with an average mean grain diameter of  $439\mu m$  (±613SD). The dominant fraction, accounting for between 49.0% and 97.1% of the sediment was sand (with a particle size range of  $\geq$ 63µm and <2mm). These data support the BGS sediment maps (i.e., reporting of less than 10% fines), where there was 8.8% of fines present in the survey samples. Surveys conducted across Moray East Offshore Wind Farm found levels of fine (silt/clay) particles were generally low across the survey array (<3%) but increased (around 4% and 5%) with increased water depth to the south (Moray Offshore Renewables Limited, 2012<sup>3</sup>). This is further validated by comparison with surveys undertaken by Moray West Offshore Wind Farm that found seabed sediments across the Moray West site generally consist of Holocene gravelly sand and sand with only a minor proportion of fines (<5 to 10% silt and clay sized) (Moray Offshore Windfarm (West) Limited, 2018<sup>4</sup>).
- 2.2.1.3 In the Caledonia OWF, Station ENV13 was an exception where gravel was the dominant fraction (i.e., sediment size greater than 2mm) accounting for 50.2% of the sediment, resulting in this station being classified as sandy gravel under the Folk 16 classification (Folk, 1954<sup>2</sup>). Gravel was absent at three stations (ENV12, ENV21 and ENV30) and negligible (less than 1%) at 12 stations.
- 2.2.1.4 When survey results were classified under the modified Folk 16 classification (Folk, 1954<sup>2</sup>), stations ranged from muddy sand to sandy gravel. These classifications were validated by the analysis of the relative proportions of fines, sand and gravel. Similar results as seen reported from Moray East Offshore Wind Farm survey samples are observed in the Caledonia OWF where samples indicate a particle size distribution ranging from very poorly sorted to moderately sorted (Folk and Ward, 1957<sup>5</sup>). "Very poorly sorted"



indicates that the sediment contains a wide range of particle sizes, with no dominant size fraction. This suggests a lack of uniformity in the particle sizes present. "Moderately sorted" suggests that there is some degree of uniformity in the particle sizes, but there is still noticeable variability present.



Table 2-1: Summary of Particle Size Analysis across the Caledonia OWF.

Station	Mean Diameter (µm)	Fines (%)	Sand (%)	Gravel (%)	Sorting	Modified Folk Classification	Total Organic Carbon (%) *
ENV01	328	4.3	94.2	1.6	Moderate	Slightly gravelly sand	N/A
ENV02	241	8.3	88.6	3.2	Poor	Slightly gravelly sand	N/A
ENV03	306	2.3	96.5	1.1	Moderate	Slightly gravelly sand	N/A
ENV04	800	3	67.1	29.9	Very poor	Gravelly sand	N/A
ENV05	160	14.1	85.7	0.1	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV06	208	9.3	90.4	0.3	Poor	Sand	N/A
ENV07	234	5.8	85.5	8.7	Poor	Gravelly sand	0.23
ENV08	324	2.3	93.7	4	Poor	Slightly gravelly sand	N/A
ENV09	197	6.8	91.5	1.7	Poor	Slightly gravelly sand	N/A
ENV10	763	2.8	86.2	11	Poor	Gravelly sand	N/A
ENV11	358	2.3	96.7	1	Moderate	Sand	N/A
ENV12	106	18.2	81.8	0	Poor	Muddy sand	0.44
ENV13	2881	0.8	49	50.2	Very poor	Sandy gravel	N/A
ENV14	357	4.8	90.3	4.8	Poor	Slightly gravelly sand	N/A
ENV15	157	11	87.9	1.1	Poor	Slightly gravelly muddy sand	0.44



Station	Mean Diameter (µm)	Fines (%)	Sand (%)	Gravel (%)	Sorting	Modified Folk Classification	Total Organic Carbon (%) *
ENV16	125	21.1	78.3	0.5	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV17	2501	1.1	59.6	39.3	Very poor	Sandy gravel	N/A
ENV18	257	3.5	95	1.5	Moderate	Slightly gravelly sand	0.21
ENV19	182	14.4	85.6	0.004	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV21	45	41.9	58.1	0	Very poor	Muddy sand	1.1
ENV22	1057	8.5	68	23.5	Very poor	Gravelly muddy sand	0.43
ENV23	318	2.7	94.4	2.9	Poor	Slightly gravelly sand	N/A
ENV24	197	8.9	90.7	0.4	Poor	Sand	0.32
ENV25	229	16.4	77.4	6.2	Very poor	Gravelly muddy sand	N/A
ENV26	120	15.6	84.4	0.007	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV27	325	4.5	84.3	11.2	Poor	Gravelly sand	N/A
ENV28	223	5.4	91.4	3.2	Moderate	Slightly gravelly sand	N/A
ENV29	190	8.4	91	0.6	Poor	Sand	N/A
ENV30	85	21.6	78.4	0	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV31	683	3.9	84.6	11.5	Poor	Gravelly sand	N/A
ENV32	230	5.5	93.8	0.7	Moderate	Sand	N/A

Station	Mean Diameter (µm)	Fines (%)	Sand (%)	Gravel (%)	Sorting	Modified Folk Classification	Total Organic Carbon (%) *		
ENV33	309	4.3	94.9	0.8	Moderate	Sand	0.22		
ENV34	124	14.4	85.5	0.1	Poor	Muddy sand	0.45		
ENV35	305	2.3	97.1	0.7	Moderate	Sand	0.29		
Summary Statistics									
Minimum	45	0.8	49	0	Very poor to moderate	Muddy sand to sandy gravel	0.21		
Maximum	2881	41.9	97.1	50.2	Very poor to moderate	Muddy sand to sandy gravel	1.1		
Mean	439	8.8	84.6	6.5	Very poor to moderate	Muddy sand to sandy gravel	0.41		
Standard Deviation	613	8.3	11.8	11.9	Very poor to moderate	Muddy sand to sandy gravel	0.1		
* TOC was and	alysed via chemist	ry samples acqu	uired at ten sam	ple stations. Th	erefore, N/A in	ndicates where TOC was	not analysed.		

### 2.3 Caledonia OECC

CALEDON A

- 2.3.1.1 Regional-scale sediment maps from EMODnet (2020<sup>1</sup>), sourced from BGS datasets, indicate that within the Caledonia OECC the surficial seabed sediments are characterised by Holocene sediments of sand and gravels close to the Caledonia OWF, with mud content increasing towards the shore. Sediments generally appear to become progressively finer as water depth increases, with isolated patches of coarser sediment associated with bathymetric highs. Between approximately 8 and 20km offshore, the surficial sediments are classified as muddy sand, with a band of sandy mud approximately 10km offshore.
- 2.3.1.2 Across the Caledonia OECC, 38 stations were sampled using wet and dry sieving to determine the PSA of sediment grab samples as part of a sitespecific survey (Volume 7B, Appendix 4-2: Environmental Baseline Report (Offshore Export Cable Corridor)). Results, detailed in Table 2-2, revealed a wide range in mean particle size, ranging from 41µm (Station ENV27) to 1813µm (Station ENV11). Stations closest to the shore, situated in water depths less than 35m (ENV07, ENV53, ENV57, ENV58, and ENV59), were predominantly sandy (>95%) and exhibited moderate to moderately well sorted characteristics based on Folk and Ward (1957<sup>5</sup>) statistics. These stations recorded minimal fines (<5%) and gravel. In contrast, stations in water depths exceeding 70m (ENV02 to ENV06, ENV08 to ENV10, ENV12, ENV17, ENV19, ENV23 to ENV31, ENV33 to ENV36) displayed poorly to very poorly sorted sediment, classified as muddy sand under modified Folk classification (1954<sup>2</sup>). These stations were primarily composed of fine sand to very fine sand, with fines content ranging from 11% at Station ENV08 to 45% at Station ENV27, and gravel content below 1%. Overall, there was a clear positive correlation between fines and water depth, where finer sediment was observed in areas of deeper water.

Table 2-2: Summary of Particle Size Analysis across the Caledonia OECC.

Station	Mean Diameter (µm)	Fines (%)	Sand (%)	Gravel (%)	Sorting	Modified Folk Classification	Total Organic Carbon (%) *
ENV01	1591	1.8	59.6	38.6	Very poor	Sandy gravel	N/A
ENV02	90	27.3	72.6	<0.1	Very poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV03	115	19.1	80.9	0.0	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV04	61	31.3	68.7	0.0	Poor	Muddy sand	0.37
ENV05	83	22.1	77.9	<0.1	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV06	141	14.1	85.9	<0.1	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV07	520	1.7	97.4	0.8	Moderate	Sand	N/A
ENV08	167	11.1	88.9	<0.1	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV09	79	30.5	69.5	0.0	Very poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV10	51	34.9	65.1	0.0	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV11	1813	6.2	56.2	37.5	Very poor	Sandy gravel	N/A
ENV12	69	30.6	69.4	0.0	Very poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV13	220	9.5	90.3	0.2	Poor	Sand	0.19
ENV14	215	16	81.4	2.6	Poor	Slightly gravelly muddy sand	N/A
ENV15	490	6.1	79.8	14.1	Poor	Gravelly sand	N/A



Station	Mean Diameter (µm)	Fines (%)	Sand (%)	Gravel (%)	Sorting	Modified Folk Classification	Total Organic Carbon (%) *
ENV16	363	4.9	92.4	2.7	Poor	Slightly gravelly sand	N/A
ENV17	130	18.9	80.8	0.3	Poor	Muddy sand	0.30
ENV18	166	24.6	64.7	10.7	Very poor	Gravelly muddy sand	N/A
ENV19	118	19.1	80.6	0.3	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV21	497	8.7	72.1	19.1	Very poor	Gravelly muddy sand	N/A
ENV22	252	12.3	87.2	0.5	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV23	152	16.2	83.8	0.0	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV24	53	37.1	62.9	0.0	Very poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV25	143	12.2	87.8	<0.1	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV26	47	40.7	59.3	0.0	Very poor	Muddy sand	0.77
ENV27	41	45.2	54.8	0.0	Very poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV28	66	29.7	70.3	0.0	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV29	48	37.2	62.8	0.0	Poor	Muddy sand	0.61
ENV30	91	20.4	79.6	<0.1	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV31	99	23.2	76.8	0.0	Poor	Muddy sand	0.35
ENV33	111	18.7	81.3	0.0	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A

Station	Mean Diameter (µm)	Fines (%)	Sand (%)	Gravel (%)	Sorting	Modified Folk Classification	Total Organic Carbon (%) *
ENV34	73	25.3	74.6	0.1	Poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV35	102	24.4	75.6	<0.1	Very poor	Muddy sand	N/A
ENV36	107	16	84	<0.1	Poor	Muddy sand	0.62
ENV53	270	2.5	95.1	2.4	Moderately well	Slightly gravelly sand	0.17
ENV57	194	1.7	98.3	<0.1	Moderately well	Sand	N/A
ENV58	228	1.7	98	0.3	Moderately well	Sand	0.16
ENV59	350	1.1	98.8	0.1	Moderately well	Sand	N/A
Summary Statis	stics						
Minimum	41	1.1	54.8	0.0	Very poor to moderately well	Muddy sand to sandy gravel	0.16
Maximum	1813	45.2	98.8	38.6	Very poor to moderately well	Muddy sand to sandy gravel	0.77
Mean	248	18.5	78	3.4	Very poor to moderately well	Muddy sand to sandy gravel	0.40
Standard Deviation	371	12.2	12.3	9.2	Very poor to moderately well	Muddy sand to sandy gravel	0.43
* TOC was analy	sed via chemistry	samples acquire	d at nine sample	stations. There	fore. N/A indicate	es where TOC was not an	alvsed.

### 3 Total Organic Carbon

#### 3.1 Overview

CALEDON A

3.1.1.1 TOC is expressed as a percentage of the total weight and represents the carbon constituent of organic matter. The primary components of organic matter in marine sediment are detrital matter, naphthenic material (e.g., carboxylic acids) and humic substances with a small proportion of biological biomass. In general, for continental shelf sediment there is a close relationship between organic carbon content and the surface area of the mineral matrix (Mayer, 1994<sup>6</sup>). Increased TOC is expected with the presence of fine sediment, as it adsorbs to the increased surface area provided by the fine grain particles.

#### 3.2 Caledonia OWF

3.2.1.1 A site-specific survey found that across the Caledonia OWF, the highest TOC concentration of 1.10% was recorded at Station ENV21 which was identified as a statistically high outlier. All remaining stations reported relatively uniform TOC concentrations from 0.21% at Station ENV18 to 0.45% at Station ENV34. In the Caledonia OWF, these TOC values were expected considering the general predominant sand component of the sediment (see Table 2-1). This was supported by results where TOC concentration was positively correlated with the volume of fines across the Caledonia OWF.

#### 3.3 Caledonia OECC

3.3.1.1 A site-specific survey found that across the Caledonia OECC, TOC concentrations ranged from 0.16% at Station ENV58 to 0.77% at Station ENV26, where the mean TOC value was 0.39% and there was a positive correlation with water depth. Similar to the Caledonia OWF, these TOC values were expected in the Caledonia OECC considering the general predominant sand and muddy sand components of the sediment (see Table 2-2). This was supported by results where TOC concentration was positively correlated with the volume of fines across the Caledonia OECC.

### 4 References

CALEDON

<sup>1</sup> EMODnet (2020) 'European Marine Observation and Data Network (EMODnet) Bathymetry data'. Available at: <u>https://portal.emodnet-bathymetry</u> (Accessed 01/05/2024)

<sup>2</sup> Folk, R.L. (1954) 'The distinction between grain size and mineral composition in sedimentary-rock nomenclature'. The Journal of Geology 62(4): 344–359

<sup>3</sup> Moray Offshore Renewables Limited (2012) 'Moray East OWF Environmental Statement (Volume 2, Chapter 4: Biological Environment Baseline)'. Available at: <u>https://marine.gov.scot/sites/default/files/chapter 4 -</u> <u>biological environment baseline.pdf</u> (Accessed 30/05/2024)

<sup>4</sup> Moray Offshore Windfarm (West) Limited (2018) 'Moray West OWF Offshore EIA Report (Technical Appendix 7.1: Benthic Survey Report)'. Available at: <u>https://marine.gov.scot/sites/default/files/00538036\_3.pdf</u> (Accessed 30/05/2024)

<sup>5</sup> Folk, R.L. and Ward, W.C. (1957) 'Brazos River Bar: A study in the significance of grain size parameters'. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 27(1): 3-26

<sup>6</sup> Mayer, L.M. (1994) 'Surface area control on organic carbon accumulation in continental shelf sediments'. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 58: 1271-84

Caledonia Offshore Wind Farm 5th Floor, Atria One 144 Morrison Street Edinburgh EH3 8EX

www.caledoniaoffshorewind.com

